

**Information for 2023-24 Core Lecturer and Laboratory Instructor  
Reappointment Candidates and Chairs**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**[Memo to Reappointment Candidates](#).....2**

**[Guidelines for the Review of Candidates for Reappointment](#).....3**

**[Deadlines for Reappointment](#).....6**

**[Curriculum Vitae Guidelines](#).....7**

**[COVID Impact Statement Guidelines](#).....8**

Subject: Core Lecturer/Laboratory Instructor Reappointment Review      Date: May 9, 2023

To: Reappointment Candidates      From: Michael Thurston  
Provost and Dean of the Faculty

Since you will be considered for reappointment in 2023-24, I am enclosing for your information a copy of the guidelines and deadlines for reappointments that have been sent to your department or program chair. The review committee's recommendation on your reappointment must be communicated to you and to my office by May 1, 2024.

In October you will be invited to attend a meeting with me, the incoming Associate Provost, Hayley Spizz, Faculty Policies Administrator, and the other candidates for reappointment to discuss the process.

In the meantime, you may wish to meet soon with your department or program chair to discuss the schedule for your reappointment review. One aspect of that discussion should be the topic of classroom visitations (see sections 4 and 5 of the enclosure). You should provide your chair with a current CV and list of materials to be reviewed.

Please also review section VI.B.3 (lecturers) or VI.D.3 (laboratory instructors) of the *Policy for Appointment, Reappointment, Promotion and Tenure* for the more general provisions on reappointment.

Candidates will submit their dossier materials electronically through Interfolio. You will receive notification that your case has been created in the next few months.

I hope that this information will be useful to you in preparing your dossier and that you and your chair will consult in a timely fashion about the specific procedures to be followed in your case. Please do not hesitate to call on me or Hayley Spizz if we can be of assistance.

MTT/hs

Enclosures

cc: Department/Program Chair  
Faculty File



# Memo

---

Subject: Core Lecturer/Laboratory Instructor  
Reappointment Review

Date: May 9, 2023

To: Department and Program Chairs

From: Michael Thurston  
Provost and Dean of the Faculty

I am writing to you in your capacity as department or program chair regarding the 2023-24 core lecturer or laboratory instructor reappointment review in your department/program. Faculty reappointment reviews are among the most important responsibilities of department and program chairs. The following summary outlines specific procedures and requirements, including those specified in the *Policy of Appointment, Reappointment, Promotion and Tenure* that chairs, senior colleagues, and departments/programs must follow, with the aim of maintaining consistency across the college and providing useful information to the provost. I have also included a summary of deadline information.

We appreciate the thoughtfulness you and your colleagues bring to this process and the effort you commit to ensuring consistency in our procedures for evaluation. The Smith faculty community has a compelling interest in departmental/program reappointment processes that are as fair, open, and consistent as we can make them.

MTT/hs

cc: Candidates for Reappointment

**Summary of Chair Responsibilities for Core Lecturer/Laboratory Instructor  
Reappointment Reviews**

1. Reauthorization of the position. If the department seeks reauthorization of the core lecturer/laboratory instructor position, the chair is responsible for submitting a request no later than **November 15, 2023**. The request should be 1 page and include a justification for the position reauthorization. Departments will receive notification of whether the position has been reauthorized by **December 15, 2023**.
2. Reappointment to the position. If the position is reauthorized, the department may either recommend the reappointment of the incumbent or propose a public search for a new appointee. Reappointment recommendations shall be supported by a formal review of the incumbent's performance in accordance with the *Policy of Appointment, Reappointment, Promotion, and Tenure*, section VI.B.3 (lecturer) and VI.D.3 (laboratory instructor).
3. Voting Members. Reappointment of lecturers and laboratory instructors is voted on by the tenured and tenure-track faculty, senior lecturers, and senior laboratory instructors. All members of the department eligible to vote on a recommendation for core lecturer or laboratory instructor reappointment are encouraged to participate, including those on leave or sabbatical.
4. Communication. The chair is responsible for contacting the candidate early in the academic year of the departmental consideration and no later than **November 1, 2023**:
  - a. to discuss departmental procedure for the review;
  - b. to discuss classroom visits and to work out a mutually acceptable time-table for these;
  - c. to discuss the candidate's dossier materials, which are due **February 1, 2024**.
5. Classroom visits. Please pay special attention to the scheduling of classroom visits, which may include courses during fall 2023 or spring 2024. Dates of each visit should be worked out between the candidate and the visitors *well in advance*, and care should be taken that *at least two* voting members visit any single class-session. Such visits should serve both an evaluative and an advisory function. Departments may wish to form a class visitation committee comprised of a sub-set of the eligible voting members. Each senior member who observes the teaching of a candidate provides the candidate with specific feedback and suggestions in writing. The candidate may respond to the feedback in writing.
6. Letter of recommendation. Following the departmental evaluation, the chair is responsible for conveying to the provost a letter of recommendation by **May 1, 2024**, which contains the following:
  - a. A statement of the number of qualified department members voting in favor of, and against, reappointment and the range of views regarding the preferred duration of appointment, as well as the names of the voting members.
  - b. A description of the procedures followed, including those related to class visits and the construction of the candidate's file.
  - c. An evaluation of the candidate's performance in the area of teaching, and when appropriate, service, including the range of views—both positive and negative—expressed by the voting members. Departments are encouraged to be candid in their evaluation, for the benefit of the candidate, the department, and the college.

- d. A teaching expectation statement for the next period of review that has consensus among the voting members, which will be quoted in the provost's outcome letter.

The chair is asked to submit a draft version of the letter of recommendation to the provost by **April 15, 2024** for review and feedback. The provost is interested in ensuring that the department's expectations are communicated clearly.

7. Submission procedures. The chair is to submit the position reauthorization request by email to Hayley Spizz ([hspizz@smith.edu](mailto:hspizz@smith.edu)), and the letter of recommendation and a completed department procedures form through Interfolio.
8. Candidate copy. A copy of the chair's letter of recommendation is to be sent to the candidate by **May 1, 2024**.

## Deadline Information for 2023-24 Core Lecturer Reappointment Candidates and Chairs

All materials are to be submitted through Interfolio, unless otherwise indicated.

<b>Date (no later than)</b>	<b>Candidate Deadlines</b>	<b>Chair Deadlines</b>
<b>November 1, 2023</b>		<b>Meet with Candidate</b> Date by which chairs are expected to meet with reappointment candidates regarding the upcoming review.
<b>November 15, 2023</b>		<b>Position Reauthorization Request Due</b> Submit request that includes a justification for the position reauthorization.
<b>December 15, 2023</b>		<b>Position Reauthorization Notification</b> Receive notification of whether the position has been reauthorized.
<b>February 1, 2024</b>	<b>Dossier Materials Due</b> Submit dossier materials.	
<b>April 15, 2024</b>		<b>Draft Departmental Recommendation Due</b> Submit draft version of letter of recommendation to provost for review.
<b>May 1, 2024</b>		<b>Departmental Recommendation and Procedures Record Form Due</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Departmental recommendation</i>: Submit letter that records the unit's recommendation and the vote taken in the meeting, and summarizes the reasons offered by the members of the unit for their votes. Provide candidate with a copy of the letter.</li> <li>• <i>Procedures record form</i>: Complete and submit Interfolio form.</li> </ul>
<b>June 1, 2024</b>	<b>Outcome Notification</b> Receive notification of outcome.	<b>Outcome Notification</b> Receive notification of outcome.

Review resources are available online at <https://www.smith.edu/about-smith/provost/reappointment-tenure-promotion>

## Tenure and Promotion Curriculum Vitae Guidelines

In order to be provided with complete and consistent information, the Committee on Tenure and Promotion recommends the following curriculum vitae guidelines for tenure and promotion candidates. Please follow this order and list the information in reverse chronological order in each category.

Category	Notes
Name	
Address and Telephone Number	Home and campus
Degrees	Include date, place, and dissertation topic/major
Awards and Honors	Include name and date
Employment History	Include dates
Grants Received	Include date and duration
Publications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide introductory information about discipline-specific conventions for listing shared or multiple authorship.</li> <li>• Use the following sub-headings:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Published</i>: books; articles; edited volumes; introductions to books; chapters in books; abstracts; book reviews; review articles or essay</li> <li>○ <i>Forthcoming</i>: works in press (name of press, anticipated schedule; include relevant correspondence in dossier); list in order indicated in published sub-heading above</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Indicate whether peer-reviewed.</li> <li>• Include full citation and list names <b>in the order in which they appear</b>.</li> <li>• For multi-authored publications: If the publication requires an author contribution statement, please replicate that; if not, use the following categories to describe your contribution:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ D: Designed the inquiry or study, including methodological development</li> <li>○ O: Obtained the information (e.g., collected data, investigated primary sources, etc.)</li> <li>○ A: Analyzed and interpreted the information</li> <li>○ W: Wrote/drafted the paper</li> <li>○ R: Critical revision of the paper</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Works in Progress	Include works submitted, but not yet accepted, and works not yet submitted; list in order indicated in published sub-heading above
Concerts, Performances, and Exhibitions	Indicate whether peer-reviewed, juried, curated, etc. (appropriate form that peer review takes for discipline).
Scholarly Lectures and Other Professional Presentations	Include date, title, place, and occasion.
Other Professional Activities	For example, editorial boards, review of manuscripts and grant proposals, dissertation committees, etc.
Professional Memberships	
College or Department Committee and other College Service	Including liberal arts advising, major/minor advising, departmental committees and responsibilities (dates served), college committees (dates served), Five College service, lectures for Alumnae Association, etc.

## COVID Impact Statement Guidelines

Reappointment, tenure, and promotion candidates are invited to submit a COVID Impact Statement as part of their dossier materials. The statement should address *only* setbacks to the research agenda and effects on teaching *directly* caused by the COVID pandemic's effects on access to research materials and facilities and/or on teaching (not to exceed 750 words).

Following are some examples of impacts to research and teaching that candidates may have experienced and may want to include in their statements.

- Research
  - Library closure affected access to materials
  - Campus closure impeded laboratory work
  - Travel prohibitions limited conference attendance, site-specific research, and other professional opportunities
  - The curtailing of IRB-approved human subjects research required postponement or revision of planned research
- Teaching
  - Switch to remote teaching required redesigning of courses for remote delivery
    - development and implementation of new pedagogical approaches
    - integration of new technologies
  - Support for students increased (advising and mentoring)

This list is not intended to be comprehensive, but the statement must focus on structural, institutional, and professional impacts. Other information, if included, cannot be taken into consideration by the Committee on Tenure and Promotion (for tenure and promotion reviews) and the president and/or provost (for reappointment reviews).